

7CCMMS16T

← module code

candidate number

12345

I affirm that I did not give or receive any unauthorised help on this exam and all working out is my own.

1-i) Let C be the curve defined by F . We have a regular map $\phi: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow C$ defined by $[X:Y] \rightarrow [X^2:XY:Y^2]$. Since $X^2Y^2 - (XY)^2 = 0$, we see that the image of F is in C .

Suppose $\phi([X_0:Y_0]) = \phi([X_1:Y_1])$. Then, we have

$$X_0^2 = \lambda X_1^2, \quad X_0Y_0 = \lambda X_1Y_1, \quad Y_0^2 = \lambda Y_1^2 \text{ for } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$$

Suppose $X_0 \neq 0$ then $X_1 \neq 0$ from first equation. Dividing the second equation by the first one, we get

$$Y_0/X_0 = Y_1/X_1$$

Hence, $[X_0:Y_0] = [X_1:Y_1]$. If $X_0 = 0$, then we have $X_1 = 0$ from the first equation so again $[X_0:Y_0] = [X_1:Y_1]$

Thus ϕ is injective.

To prove surjectivity, given $[X_0:Y_0:Z_0]$ such that

$$X_0Z_0 = Y_0^2, \text{ let } X, Y \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } X^2 = X_0, Y^2 = Z_0$$

then $(XY)^2 = Y_0^2$. If $XY = Y_0$ we have $\phi([X:Y]) = [X_0, Y_0, Z_0]$

$$\text{otherwise } \phi([X:-Y]) = [X_0, Y_0, Z_0]$$

✓ Draw a line

1-ii) Taking derivatives and setting them to zero we get

$$\begin{cases} X^2 - aYz = 0 \\ Y^2 - aXz = 0 \\ Z^2 - aXY = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} Y^2 - aXz = 0 \\ Z^2 - aXY = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} Z^2 - aXY = 0 \end{cases}$$

Hence $a \neq 0$ as otherwise we get $X=Y=Z=0$ which is not a point on $\mathbb{C}P^2$.

1-ii) continues.

We also note that if $X=0$, the second and third equations give $Y=Z=0$. By symmetry we conclude that $X \neq Y \neq Z \neq 0$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} X^2 &= aYZ \\ Y^2 &= aXZ \\ Z^2 &= aXY \end{aligned}$$

multiplying both sides
we get

$$X^2 Y^2 Z^2 = a^3 X^2 Y^2 Z^2 \Rightarrow \boxed{a^3 = 1}$$

By multiplying the first equation by X , second by Y and third by Z we get

$$X^3 = Y^3 = Z^3 = aXYZ$$

Without loss of generality we can assume $Z=1$

then $\boxed{X^3 = Y^3 = 1}$

Now let $\zeta = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}$ so that $1, \zeta, \zeta^2$ are 3rd roots of unity. We can verify that the only solutions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} X:Y:Z &: [1:1:1], [\zeta; \zeta^2; 1], [\zeta^2; \zeta; 1] && \text{for } a=1 \\ &: [\zeta; \zeta; 1], [1; \zeta^2; 1], [\zeta^2; 1; 1] && \text{for } a=\zeta \\ &: [\zeta; 1; 1], [1; \zeta; 1], [\zeta^2; \zeta^2; 1] && \text{for } a=\zeta^2 \end{aligned}$$

1-iii) Following the hint we consider lines joining the singular points of C_a for $a=1, \zeta, \zeta^2$

Let $a=1$ The singular points are $s_1 = [1:1:1]$
 $s_2 = [\zeta, \zeta^2:1]$
 $s_3 = [\zeta^2, \zeta:1]$

The lines through pairs of these are

$$l_{s_1, s_2}: \zeta^2 X + Y + \zeta Z = 0$$

$$l_{s_2, s_3}: X + Y + Z = 0$$

$$l_{s_3, s_1}: \zeta X + Y + \zeta^2 Z = 0$$

where we note that $1 + \zeta + \zeta^2 = 0$. It remains to observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & (X+Y+Z)(\zeta X+Y+\zeta^2 Z)(\zeta^2 X+Y+\zeta Z) \\ &= (\zeta X^2 + Y^2 + \zeta^2 Z^2 + (1+\zeta)XY + (\zeta+\zeta^2)XZ + (1+\zeta^2)YZ)(\zeta^2 X+Y+\zeta Z) \\ &= X^3 + Y^3 + Z^3 - 3XYZ. \end{aligned}$$

The other cases are similar.

Start with a new page

2-i) Branching index is defined by

$$b_f = \sum_{s \in S_2} (\deg(f) - |f^{-1}(s)|)$$

or it can be defined by

$$b_f = \sum_{s \in S_2} \sum_{t \in f^{-1}(s)} (v_f(t) - 1)$$

where $v_f(t)$ is the ramification index of f at t .

(in local coordinates around t , f is given by $z \rightarrow z^{v_f(t)}$)

Riemann-Hurwitz states that

$$2h-2 = \deg(f)(2g-2) + b_f.$$

draw a line

2-ii) For a non-constant holomorphic map Riemann-Hurwitz

gives $2h-2 = d(2g-2) + b$ where $d = \deg(f) \geq 1$

and $b = b_f \geq 0$

if $g \geq h+1$, we have that

$$d(2g-2) + b \geq d(2h) + b \geq 2h$$

hence $d(2g-2) + b$ cannot be equal to $2h-2$.

Contradiction.

2-iii) To check smoothness we observe that the only solutions to the system of equations

$$dX^{d-1} = dY^{d-1} = dz^{d-1} = 0 \quad \text{is given by}$$
$$X=Y=z=0 \quad \text{which does not represent a point on } \mathbb{CP}^2.$$

π is well-defined because $[\lambda X : \lambda Y : \lambda Z] \rightarrow [\lambda X : \lambda Y] = [X : Y]$

For fixed $[X:Y]$ there are d solutions to $X^d + Y^d + z^d = 0$

hence π has degree d . The only branching is

when $X^d + Y^d = 0$. We may assume that $X=1$ so

there are d distinct branching points and the preimage

above those points is geometrically unique, so the

ramification index is $d-1$. Thus applying Riemann-Hurwitz

we have

$$2g(X_F) - 2 = -2d + d(d-1)$$

$$g(X_F) = \frac{(d-1)(d-2)}{2} \quad \text{as required.}$$

Start with a new page.

3-i) Let $\tau = u + iv$ with $v > 0$ and $z = x + iy$
we have

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{\pi i n^2 \tau + 2\pi i n z}| &= |e^{\pi i u n^2 - \pi v n^2}| |e^{2\pi i n x - 2\pi n y}| \\ &= e^{-\pi v n^2 - 2\pi n y} \end{aligned}$$

For n large enough $|n| < \pi n(vn + 2y)$ hence

$$|e^{\pi i n^2 \tau + 2\pi i n z}| = e^{-\pi v n^2 - 2\pi n y} < e^{-|n|}$$

As a result, the series converges absolutely, and

draw a line.

very rapidly so.

3-ii) a) clear since $e^{2\pi i n(z+1)} = e^{2\pi i n z}$

$$b) \mathcal{V}(z+\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i n^2 \tau + 2\pi i n(z+\tau)}$$

Re-indexing $n \rightarrow n-1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i (n-1)^2 \tau + 2\pi i (n-1)(z+\tau)} &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i n^2 \tau + 2\pi i n z} e^{\pi i \tau} e^{-2\pi i (z+\tau)} \\ &= \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{\pi i n^2 \tau + 2\pi i n z} \right) e^{-\pi i \tau - 2\pi i z} \end{aligned}$$

3-ii) continues

c) The independence of α follows from part a)

Suppose $b > 0$, we apply part b) to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}(z+b\tau) &= \mathcal{V}(z+(b-1)\tau+\tau) = e^{-\pi i\tau - 2\pi i(z+(b-1)\tau)} \mathcal{V}((z+b-1)\tau) \\ &= e^{-(b-1)\pi i\tau - 2\pi iz} \mathcal{V}(z+(b-1)\tau) \end{aligned}$$

By induction, this is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{-(b-1)\pi i\tau - 2\pi iz - \pi(b-1)^2\tau - 2\pi i(b-1)z} \mathcal{V}(z) \\ &= e^{-\pi i b^2\tau - 2\pi i b z} \mathcal{V}(z) \quad \text{as required.} \end{aligned}$$

If $b < 0$ by what we proved we have

$$\mathcal{V}(z-b\tau) = e^{-\pi i b^2\tau + 2\pi i b z} \mathcal{V}(z)$$

Now let $z \rightarrow z+b\tau$ to conclude that

$$\mathcal{V}(z+b\tau) = e^{\pi i b^2 z - 2\pi i b(z+b\tau)} \mathcal{V}(z) = e^{-\pi i b^2\tau - 2\pi i b z} \mathcal{V}(z)$$

as required.

✓ Start with a new page.

4-i) Let $f(z, w), g(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}[z, w]$ be two polynomials
(Weak form of Bezout's theorem) If f and g are relatively prime, then the curves $f(z, w) = 0$ and $g(z, w) = 0$ intersect only at finitely many points.

(Weak form of Hilbert's Nullstellensatz) If f is irreducible and g vanishes at all points of the curve $f(z, w) = 0$ then f divides g .
✓ draw a line.

4-ii) A singularity of the affine curve defined by a polynomial $f(z, w)$ is given by common zeroes of $f(z, w), \partial_z f(z, w), \partial_w f(z, w)$.

Suppose $f(z, w)$ and $\partial_z f(z, w)$ have infinitely many common zeroes.

Then by weak form of Bezout's theorem $f(z, w)$ and $\partial_z f(z, w)$ must have a common divisor. But $f(z, w)$ is irreducible hence

$f(z, w)$ must divide $\partial_z f(z, w)$ but this is impossible for degree reasons unless $\partial_z f(z, w)$ is identically zero in which

case we can argue similarly using $\partial_w f(z, w)$.

4 - iii) We compute the derivatives

$$\partial_x F = 4(x^2 - z^2)x$$

$$\partial_y F = -6yz(y+z)$$

$$\partial_z F = -4(x^2 - z^2)z - 6y^2z - 2y^3$$

From which we conclude $\xi_1 = [-1:0:1]$
 $\xi_2 = [1:0:1]$ are the singular
 $\xi_3 = [0:-1:1]$ points.

We compute the multiplicities in the affine chart $\{z=1\}$ which includes all the singular points. So let

$$f(x,y) = F(x,y,1) = (x^2-1)^2 - y^2(2y+3).$$

$$\partial_{xx} f = 12x^2 - 4$$

$$\partial_{xy} f = 0$$

$$\partial_{yy} f = -12y$$

Thus we see that ∂_{xx} does not vanish for any of the singular points. Hence, the multiplicity of each of the singular points is 2.

Start with a new page

5-i) Let S be a compact Riemann surface. The following statements are equivalent.

1) S can be defined over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$

2) S admits a morphism $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with at most 3 branching points. *draw a line*

5-ii) Recall that $\text{Branch}(g \circ f) = \text{Branch}(g) \cup g(\text{Branch}(f))$

We have $g(z) = z^d$ so $\text{Branch}(g) = \{0, \infty\}$

We have $\text{Branch}(f) = \{0, 1, \infty\}$

Thus $g(\text{Branch}(f)) = \{0, 1, \infty\}$. Here, we see that

$\text{Branch}(g \circ f) = \{0, 1, \infty\}$, so $g \circ f$ is a Belyi function. *draw a line*

5-iii) The branch points of f are b such that $f^{-1}(b)$

consists of less than 4 distinct solutions. (including

possibly $b = \infty$) We immediately note that $f^{-1}(\infty) = \{1/2, \infty\}$

which shows that $b = \infty$ is a branch point. For $z \neq \infty$

we compute $f'(z) = -4 \frac{(z-1)z(4z^2-4z+2)}{(2z-1)^3}$

So ramification points are $\{0, 1/2, 1, \frac{1+i}{2}, \frac{1-i}{2}\}$. The branch

points are obtained by calculating the value of f at these points.

$$f(0) = f(1) = 0 \quad f(1/2) = \infty \quad f\left(\frac{1+i}{2}\right) = f\left(\frac{1-i}{2}\right) = 1$$

S-iii) continues

Thus, the white vertices of the dimer are 0 and 1 ,
and the black vertices are at $\frac{1+i}{2}$, $\frac{1-i}{2}$

Each vertex has degree 2, so the dimer looks like

